

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/790,928	EGGERT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David D. Le	3681

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) David D. Le.

(3) _____.

(2) Alexander R. Schlee.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 June 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

3 and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' attorney authorizes examiner to amend the instant application as follows:

Claim 3:

Line 4, "either one of the 2" has been amended as --either one of the two--.

Claim 16:

Line 3, "gears on both shafts and an intermediate gear" has been amended as --gears on both intermediate shaft and input shaft and an intermediate gear--.